

## A Brain Is Not A Computer

(and why this is relevant for software design)

CoSin 2018 Pat Mächler / @valio\_ch

# When I was in Kindergarten...



## Your brain is not a computer





Biological neurons or nerve cells	Silicon transistors	
200 billion neurons, 32 trillion interconnections.	1 billion bytes RAM, trillion of bytes on disk.	
Neuron size: 10-6 m.	Single transistor size: 10-9m.	
Energy consumption: 6-10 joules per operation per sec.		
Learning capability	Programming capability	

## What is a computer?







### What is a brain?







#### Executive Skills and the Brain





#### Neuroplasticity

The ability of the brain to rewire and rebuild itself to form new neural networks and reinforce familiar neural connections.

#### Why should you care about your

#### BDNF

Brain Derived Neurotrophic Growth Factor is a key neurochemical responsible for the growth and maintenance of neural connections.

BDNF helps your brain adapt & learn
Improves all forms of plasticity

#### YOU control your BDNF levels

#### Nutritio

Supar reduces BDNF Levels while Fasting, Ketosis and Omega 3 fatty acids improve BDNF levels.

Poor Sleep reduces BDNF Levels. Exercise

Movement and exercise at any age improves 8DNF levels Imbalances in cortiso and adrenaline lower

BDNF levels

### The Triune Brain model



#### Your Three Brains



#### **Triune Brain Theory**

Lizard Brain	Mammal Brain	Human Brain
Brain stem & cerebelum	Limbic System	Neocortex
Fight or flight	Emotions, memories, habits	Language, abstract thought, imagination, consciousness
Autopilot	Decisions	Reasons, rationalizes



The Triune Brain in Evolution, Paul MacLean, 1960

#### The Triune Brain



Three Brains in One

- Neocortex Thought (including planning, language, logic & will, awareness)
- Limbic System Emotion (feelings, relationship/nurturing, images and dreams, play)
- Reptilian Brain Instinct (survival, breathing/swallowing/heartbeat, startle response)

#### Neo-Cortex-

"executive brain" conscious awareness decision making working memory

> Amygdala "seat of anxiety"

"new memories on past experience"

#### Limbic

"emotional brain" enabled learning value judgments emotional memory

#### Reptilian

"rudimentary brain" breathing / heart rate survival, flight or fight





#### PRIMATE "THINKING" BRAIN:

- Brain region. Neo cortex
- Responsible for sensory perception, spatial reasoning, generation of motor commands, conscious thought, intellectual memory
- Happy when learning, anticipating future reward, connected to higher purpose, in flow
- · Evolutionary role predicting brain that helps the community thrive

#### MAMMILIAN "FEELING" BRAIN:

- Brain region. Limbic system (includes amygdala / fear center & nucleus accumbens / pleasure center."
- Responsible for (positive) emotions, learning, emotional memory and spirituality
- · Happy when feel trust, social bonds, higher status
- · Evolutionary role social brain that helps the community survive

#### REPTILIAN "INSTINCTIVE" BRAIN:

- Brain region. brain stem
- Responsible for the 4 F's fight, flight, feed and fornicate (wired for danger and therefore negative emotions)
- · Happy when safe from danger
- · Evolutionary role selfish brain that helps us survive individually

# The *Triune Brain* model is a simplification

(especially the evolutionary aspect)

## but still a good fit for laymen

# Your brain can be in one of two thinking modes



## 17 x 24



All the problems of the world could be settled easily if men were only willing to think.

The trouble is that men very often resort to all sorts of devices in order not to think, because thinking is such hard work.

## Information Processing in the brain

**SYSTEM 1** 

#### Intuition & instinct

### **SYSTEM 2**

#### Rational thinking



Unconscious Fast Associative Automatic pilot





Takes effort Slow Logical Lazy Indecisive

#### Source: Daniel Kahneman

#### DANIEL KAHNEMAN'S SYTEMS OF THINKING COMPLEX INSTINCTIVE DECISIONS LITTLE/NO QUICK **SLOWER** CONSCIOUS EFFORT SYSTEM 2 SYSTEM 1 THINKING THINKING **EFFORTFUL** EMOTIONAL MORE NO SENSE MORE LOGICAL **OF VOLUNTARY** AUTOMATIC DELIBERATIVE CONTROL

#### Myth #1: It's Just Like Left-Brain and Right-Brain



If the 2 systems were equal, behaviour change strategies based on triggering System 2 (like giving people more information) would work.

#### We use two major thinking systems





- conscious
- focused
- precise

#### System 2

unconscious

an Destroy

- fast, parallel
- approximate


# Memory in the brain



# Patients undergoing colonoscopy reported their pain every 60 sec.



### How much did these Patients suffer?



Figure 1.



Civilization advances by extending the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them.

Operations of thought are [...] strictly limited in number, [...] and must only be made at decisive moments. Even though your brain is only about 2% of your body's weight, about 3 pounds, it uses 20-30% of the calories

you consume.



### Metabolism of the brain

The energy demands of the brain are high: they account for at least 20% of a human adult's energy consumption.

Studies indicate have linked higher cognitive functions to increased glucose demand.

> Cell respiration produces the ATP that is constantly required by neurons to:

- Synthesise neurotransmitters
- carry out the active transport needed to maintain resting potential.

## Digital Dementia?

## AND NOW FOR SOMETHING COMPLETELY DIFFERENT

(Intentionally unexpected intermission in the narrative arc)





# #WUD2018

UX Design for Good or Evil?

## The three archetypes of *evil* system design: The Good, the Bad & the Ugly

## The Ugly

"Just implemented the Hawaii missile alert"



Just ignorant why critical systems need careful design

### <u>The Bad</u> "UX design exists to make more profit"



Intentionally creates <u>dark</u> <u>patterns</u> for selfish gains

The Good "I'm a shiny knight (that is ignorant)"

Tries very hard to do good, but <u>ends up serving evil</u>

# #WUD2014

# #WUD2014

Engaged for Engagement

# Engaging design is actually addictive design

### Facebook Use Predicts Declines in Subjective Well-Being in Young Adults

Ethan Kross<sup>1</sup>\*, Philippe Verduyn<sup>2</sup>, Emre Demiralp<sup>1</sup>, Jiyoung Park<sup>1</sup>, David Seungjae Lee<sup>1</sup>, Natalie Lin<sup>1</sup>, Holly Shablack<sup>1</sup>, John Jonides<sup>1</sup>, Oscar Ybarra<sup>1</sup>

1 Psychology Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States of America, 2 Psychology Department, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

#### Abstract

Over 500 million people interact daily with Facebook. Yet, whether Facebook use influences subjective well-being over time is unknown. We addressed this issue using experience-sampling, the most reliable method for measuring in-vivo behavior and psychological experience. We text-messaged people five times per day for two-weeks to examine how Facebook use influences the two components of subjective well-being: how people feel moment-to-moment and how satisfied they are with their lives. Our results indicate that Facebook use predicts negative shifts on both of these variables over time. The more people used Facebook at one time point, the worse they felt the next time we text-messaged them; the more they used Facebook over two-weeks, the more their life satisfaction levels declined over time. Interacting with other people "directly" did not predict these negative outcomes. They were also not moderated by the size of people's Facebook networks, their perceived supportiveness, motivation for using Facebook, gender, loneliness, self-esteem, or depression. On the surface, Facebook provides an invaluable resource for fulfilling the basic human need for social connection. Rather than enhancing well-being, however, these findings suggest that Facebook may undermine it.

Citation: Kross E, Verduyn P, Demiralp E, Park J, Lee DS, et al. (2013) Facebook Use Predicts Declines in Subjective Well-Being in Young Adults. PLoS ONE 8(8): e69841. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069841

Editor: Cédric Sueur, Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, France

Received January 31, 2013; Accepted June 12, 2013; Published August 14, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Kross et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: ekross@umich.edu





Digital dementia refers to the decline in cognitive function due to excessive use of digital devices, such as smartphones.



## Neuroplasticity

The ability of the brain to rewire and rebuild itself to form new neural networks and reinforce familiar neural connections.

#### Why should you care about your

Poor Sleep reduces

**BDNF Levels** 

Brain Derived Neurotrophic Growth Factor is a key neurochemical responsible for the growth and maintenance of neural connections.

**BDNF** 

BDNF helps your brain adapt & learn
Improves all forms of plasticity

### YOU control your BDNF levels

#### Nutritio

Supar reduces BDNF Levels while Fasting, Ketosis and Omega 3 fatty acids improve BDNF levels. Exercise

Movement and exercise at any age improves 8DNF levels Chronic Stress

Imbalances in cortisol and advenaline lower BDNF levels.

## The alternative?



There's a race to the bottom to capture our attention

**Tristan Harris** former Design Ethicist at Google, co-founder of <u>Center for Humane Technology</u>

### Take-Home Messages

- A brain doesn't work like a computer
- The brain is constantly building new connections
- In the brain "CPU" === "memory"
- There is a shortcut thinking (System 1) and rational thinking (System 2)
- There is a experiencing self and a remembering self
- The brain hasn't evolutionarily adapted to High-Tech over thousands of years
- Excessive tech use may negatively our psychological and neurological health
- Engaging design is actually addictive design

The question is not whether UX designers impact the world. The hard question is: HMW change it for the better?

## THANK YOU!

## **Read this**



### DANIEL KAHNEMAN

WINNER OF THE NOBEL PRIZE IN ECONOMICS



Wie wir uns und unsere Kinder um den Verstand bringen

DROEMER

#### M | THRIVE GLOBAL 🕬 🕈 🕅

HOME WELL-BEING WISDOM WONDER PURPOSE WORK SMARTER UNPLUG & RECHARGE | THRIVE Q



Tristan Harris <u>Follow</u> Co-founder, Center for Humane Technology // Ex-Google Design Ethicist // CEO of Apture (acquired by Google) // Philosopher // Entrepreneur // Friend // Human. May 18, 2016 - 16 min read

#### How Technology is Hijacking Your Mind from a Magician and Google Design Ethicist

Estimated reading time: 12 minutes.

"It's easier to fool people than to convince them that they've been fooled."— Unknown.

I'm an expert on how technology hijacks our psychological vulnerabilities. That's why I spent the last three years as a Design Ethicist at Google caring about how to design things in a way that defends a billion people's minds from getting hijacked.

When using technology, we often focus *optimistically* on all the things it does for us. But I want to show you where it might do the opposite.

Where does technology exploit our minds' weaknesses?

## Backup slides

# Is the OCEAN (or similar psychological models) important

## Belbin team roles (or tasks?)

# 3 types of evil system design (WUD topic 2018)



### Complementary VS Competitive Cognitive Artifacts



Vs

### 7 8 9 ÷ 4 5 6 × 1 2 3 -0 • +

#### Complementary Cognitive Artifacts

These are artifacts that complement human intelligence in such a way that their use amplifies and improves our ability to perform cognitive tasks <u>and</u> once the user has mastered the physical artifact they can use a virtual/mental equivalent to perform the same cognitive task at a similar level of skill, e.g. an abacus.

#### Competitive Cognitive Artifacts

These are artifacts that amplify and improve our abilities to perform cognitive tasks when we have use of the artifact <u>but</u> when we take away the artifact we are no better (and possibly worse) at performing the cognitive task than we were before.

David C. Krakauer, American evolutionary biologist, Professor of Complex Systems at the Santa Fe Institute